

---

# Some Challenges in Designing Investor and Borrower Protection

Panel Presentation, CEPR Financial Regulation Initiative, September 30, 2015

**Michael Haliassos**

Goethe University Frankfurt, CFS, CEPR, NETSPAR

## Households: Getting into financial trouble

- Key environmental factors:
  - Demographic transition: being forced to make decisions you cannot handle
  - Financial innovation: being confronted with new products
  - Product complexity: being confronted with products you do not understand
  - Poor financial advice: “car mechanic principle” fails
- Key documented challenges at the individual level:
  - Widespread financial illiteracy *correlated* with bad financial outcomes
  - Limited awareness of, or familiarity with certain financial products
    - Problems with use and with non-use!
  - Poor matching between individuals and financial advisors
    - Those who need advice most are least likely to have an advisor
  - Possible negative influence of social interactions and comparisons
    - Spreading use of financial products and practices (good or bad?)
    - Growing indebtedness in response to social comparisons
  - Overtrading or inattention and portfolio inertia?
- Current research in household finance sheds light on these issues

# The main thrust of regulation so far

- Ban the unfamiliar
  - Require familiarity checks (e.g., MIFID)
  - Ban sales of certain products (e.g., Belgian ban on structured products)
  - Implicit assumption
    - Lack of familiarity with a financial product encourages participation that later proves counterproductive or disastrous
  - One-sidedness: Lack of familiarity could discourage participation
  - Paternalism and potential discrimination
    - Learning: Bans prevent individuals from gaining familiarity (contrast driver licenses)
    - Lack of familiarity could be offset through other channels
      - e.g., well-incentivized financial practitioners, interaction with knowledgeable peers: evidence?
- Legal focus so far:
  - Transparency: What information you must present
    - Transparency requirements boost volume of info
  - Who initiates the sanctions rather than who is being regulated
    - Institutional sanctions
    - Individual sanctions
  - Starting to separate selling from advising function
    - August 2014: Introduction of “fee only” financial advisors in Germany
- See also Faia, Hackethal, Haliassos, Langenbucher (Eds.), *Financial Regulation: A Transatlantic Perspective*, Cambridge University Press (September 2015).

## Product Complexity

- Increased complexity may be a strategic action on the part of some producers of innovative financial products in the face of increasing competition.
  - Celerier and Vallee (2014) study 55,000 retail structured products issued in 17 European countries since 2002
    - Financial complexity has been steadily increasing, even after the financial crisis, especially among producers with a less sophisticated investor base
    - By comparing market price to the fair value of a number of these products, the authors find that the hidden markup in a product is an increasing function of its complexity.
    - They also find that complexity intensifies when competition increases.

## Product awareness and Familiarity bias

- Guiso and Jappelli (2005):
  - Studied a sample of Italian households
  - Found that only about one third were simultaneously aware of the existence of stocks, mutual funds, and managed accounts
- Within a given asset class, households tend to be drawn to assets most familiar to them
  - Contributes to
    - home equity bias
    - or a preference for local stocks
  - E.g., Feldstein and Horioka (1980), Coval and Moskowitz (1999), Huberman, (2001)

## Problems with focusing on reducing financial illiteracy

- There is ample evidence that **financial illiteracy is present and disproportionately so for certain demographic groups**, across a wide spectrum of countries.
  - For example, Lusardi and Mitchell (2007) showed that:
    - only 18% of US households aged 51-56 could calculate two-year interest compounding correctly
    - of the rest, only 43% simply failed to compound interest
    - Particularly acute problem in certain education and race groups
- **Key question:** Does financial illiteracy **cause** bad financial outcomes?
  - A number of authors have found that **poor outcomes** (lower wealth, limited stock market participation, lack of retirement planning, use of higher cost credit, being in credit arrears) **are correlated with limited basic financial literacy, or advanced financial literacy** (e.g., Alessie, Lusardi, Van Rooij, JFE; Disney and Gathergood, JBF 2013).
- **Crucial problems:**
  - potential for **reverse causality or unobserved heterogeneity**
    - search for an exogenous instrument
  - **Optimal timing and duration** of the intervention

## Problems with relying on financial advice

- Inderst and Ottaviani (2009): **Conflicts of interest**
- Hackethal, Haliassos, Jappelli (2012):
  - **Poor matching** between advisors and advisees
  - **Being advised contributes negatively to outcomes**
    - Advised sample had lower net returns and inferior Sharpe ratios, controlling for account-holder characteristics
    - Effects were stronger for bank advisors than for IFAs
- Bhattacharya et al. (2012):
  - **Lack of willingness to get or accept advice**
    - Only 5% willing to accept unbiased free advice
    - Those who did tended to be older, wealthier, more sophisticated
- **Biases may prevent people from seeking advice**
  - e.g., Overconfidence

## (Bad) Influence of Social Interactions: Peer effects on household consumption and financial behavior

- **Consumption:** The Joneses just got richer and you haven't (Kuhn, Kooreman, Soetevent, Kapteyn, AER 2011)
- **Consumption:** The Joneses are poor, you look like them, and you spend on gold accessories to signal otherwise (Charles, Hurst, Roussanov, JPE 2009)
- **Asset:** The Joneses hold risky assets and you follow their example (Duflo and Saez, JPubE 2002; Kaustia and Knüpfer, JFE 2011)
- **Asset:** You bump into the Joneses a lot and you start investing in the stock market (Hong, Kubik and Stein, JF 2004)
- **Debt:** You perceive the Joneses as richer than you and you borrow, increasing risk of financial distress (Georgarakos, Haliassos, Pasini, RFS 2014)

## On what basis to regulate?

- **Product-based** regulation
  - An FPA like the FDA?
- **User-based** regulation
  - Banning the unfamiliar?
  - or License to invest (different classes) and learner's permit?
- **Practitioner** regulation
  - **Financial advisors** providing advice and/or selling the product
    - Adequate background: e.g., pilot permits based on flying time
    - Disclosure of payment scheme and incentives
    - Separation of advising from selling function
      - Easier to incentivize advising to small customers?
  - **Producers** designing and marketing the product
    - **Targeted** transparency
    - Potential to monitor complexity???

# Challenges with Product-based Access Regulation

- An FPA similar to FDA?
  - Very difficult to predict how a particular financial product will actually be used
    - Theory-based optimal use of an instrument does not reveal how it will actually be used
      - Securitization of mortgages and their breakdown into different risk classes that could be disseminated to those willing to take risks was theoretically sound
    - Seeing how an instrument was used in the past does not reveal how it will be used in the future
      - The precursors of asset-backed securities were German covered bonds, which effectively survived for 300 years or so
    - Seeing how an instrument is being used in one type of account is not informative on how it will be used in a different location (account), even by the same people (Bilias, Georgarakos, Haliassos, JMCB 2010)!

# Inferring Potential Use from Use in Other Accounts: Stock Trading

- Finance: Discount Broker Accounts and **Overtrading**
  - Barber and Odean (*JF*, 2000): Discount broker data
    - Average household turns over 75% of its portfolio annually
    - Earns net stock portfolio returns substantially below market, because of transactions costs
  - Barber and Odean (*QJE*, 2001)
    - Men trade 45 percent more than women
    - Single men trade 67 percent more than single women, reducing their returns by 1.44 pp per year more than do single women.
- Economics: Retirement Accounts and **Inactivity**
  - Ameriks and Zeldes, 2001 (2004): TIAA-CREF data
    - TIAA-CREF administrative data on retirement portfolios of (mostly) academics in US institutions of higher education and research (1987-96):
    - In 10 years:
      - 47% of account holders made **no change in flow allocations**, 14% made only one.
      - 73% made **no reallocation of accumulated funds**, 14% made only one.

## Is stock trading in brokerage accounts different?

Source: Biliass, Georgarakos, Haliassos (JMCB, 2010)

Period	Direct Stock ownership rates	Percent of Brokerage Account Owners	% Pop who traded stocks through a broker	Stock trading rates among brokerage account owners
1988-89	16.9%	<b>9.4%</b>	6.8%	<b>72.0%</b>
1991-92	17.0%	<b>12.5%</b>	8.6%	<b>69.0%</b>
1994-95	15.3%	<b>12.1%</b>	8.3%	<b>68.3%</b>
1997-98	19.2%	<b>15.5%</b>	11.8%	<b>76.2%</b>
2000-01	21.3%	<b>18.2%</b>	13.5%	<b>74.3%</b>
2003-04	20.7%	<b>15.8%</b>	10.4%	<b>65.4%</b>

# Is stock overtrading, even in brokerage accounts, “lethal”?

## Share of financial assets held in brokerage accounts

Source: Biliass, Georgarakos, Haliassos (JMCB, 2010)

Year	Mean Unconditional Share	Mean Conditional Share	Median Unconditional Share	Median Conditional Share
1989	0.028*	0.268*	0*	0.190*
1992	0.026	0.189	0	0.094
1995	0.020	0.154	0	0.025
1998	0.035	0.207	0	0.109
2001	0.037	0.190	0	0.094
2004	0.031	0.182	0	0.078
All	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.194</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.093</b>

# Challenges with user-based regulation

- Premise: households with certain characteristics or revealed behavior would over-expose themselves to specific products if allowed
- But:
  - Greater financial sophistication is not always associated with smaller losses  
Campbell, Calvet, Sodini (2007)
  - Fuchs-Schuendeln and Haliassos (2015): lack of familiarity does not seem to be a problem when the financial sector is itself familiar with the products and well-incentivized

# Does product familiarity influence participation? Fuchs-Schuendeln and Haliassos (2015)

- Large field 'experiment': German reunification
  - East Germans **exogenously deprived** of 'capitalist' financial products
  - Then, **exogenously provided** the same opportunity to use them as West
  - **West German banks fully familiar** with the products **and well-incentivized**
  - **Presence of West German peers familiar** with capitalist products
- Micro-data from the German Socioeconomic Panel (GSOEP): 1991-2009
- Results: controlling for characteristics, East Germans were
  - as likely to participate in securities as West Germans throughout the period
  - and more likely to participate in unfamiliar consumer credit.
- Thus, in the face of a knowledgeable and well-incentivized financial sector, the paper finds **no evidence** that lack of familiarity
  - discourages entry
  - or delays it
  - or encourages unwarranted entry (at least as indicated by subsequent exit)
- Results point in the direction of refocusing regulation:
  - Instead of a **familiarity test** (a la MIFID) for consumers,
  - **regulation of the knowledge and practices of financial practitioners**
  - A **user license**, possibly for different product classes?

## Practitioner regulation: Financial Advisors

- **Certification:** do they know the material?
- **License:** Which products are they qualified to advise on/sell
  - “flight time”?
- **Transparency:** disclose incentive schemes
- **Separate the advising from the sale function**
  - Recall:
    - No Hippocratic oath
    - Under-developed legal framework of investor/borrower protection
- **Access:** non-rich clients?
  - With fee-only advisors, reaching out to the non-rich is probably encouraged

## Practitioner regulation: Producers

- Transparency:
  - Not 180-page manuals!
  - User-specific, possibly using modern technology
- “Consult your advisor” **nudge** in financial product advertising
- Product “passport”: Provide range of outcomes: worst/best