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The battle for the post-COVID-19 narrative is already underway

• *The Economist* sounds the alarm: “Big government is needed to fight the pandemic. What matters is how it shrinks back again afterwards. ... A pandemic government is not fit for everyday life.” March 26th 2020

• The *Financial Times*’ editorial board does not agree – “Radical reforms – reversing the prevailing policy direction of the last four decades – will need to be put on the table. Governments will have to accept a more active role in the economy. ... Policies until recently considered eccentric, such as basic income and wealth taxes, will have to be in the mix.” April 3rd 2020
A new narrative may bring in a third pole – community or civil society – expanding the state space for policies by drawing on ethical motivations of solidarity and duty that underpin community and going beyond material gain and compliance with governmental fiat.
Health and social care
- doctors, nurses, midwives, paramedics,
- social workers,
- care workers,
- Education and childcare
- childcare
- support and teaching staff
- social workers
- Key public services
- those essential to the running of the justice system
- religious staff
- charities and workers delivering key frontline services
- those responsible for the management of the deceased
- journalists and broadcasters who are providing public service broadcasting
- Food and other necessary goods
- This includes those involved in food: production, processing, distribution, sale and delivery

Utilities, communication and financial services
- postal services and delivery
- payments providers
- waste disposal sectors

Messages: Prices cannot do the work of morals

Ethical, generous and trusting norms:
- have been essential to successful anti-pandemic responses
- are absent from the conventional economics paradigm
Words: Narrative, Vernacular, Policy paradigm

**Narrative**: A particular way of explaining or understanding events

- Example: A social democratic-New Deal narrative
  - “The Great Depression occurred because workers weren’t paid enough to buy all the goods they produced.
  - It ended because of war induced deficit-spending by the government.”
  - The “golden age of capitalism” that followed was sustained by the spending of high wage trade union workers and the welfare state.

**Vernacular**: Everyday speech common among the general population

- Example: A neoliberal vernacular:
  - “There is no such thing as society”
  - “Labour unions are special interest groups”

**Policy paradigm**: comprising four mutually reinforcing levels 1) ethical commitments 2) an economic model 3) emblematic policies and 4) vernacular economics

- Example: classical liberalism
  1) e. g. equal dignity
  2) e.g. division of labour, specialization, comparative advantage
  3) e.g. end to chartered monopolies, anti-tariffs
  4) “it’s all done by everyone minding their own business” (Alice (in Wonderland) to the Queen, 1865)
The new narrative will not be limited to “government -- markets” continuum

- A pole – here ‘government’ or ‘market’ – refers to both the institution or ‘rules of the game’, and to the motivations and social norms that make those rules work well
- Policies like ‘carbon tax and dividend’ and ‘cap and trade’ use the complementarity of state capacity and market mechanisms differently weighted
The narrative has changed before: The Great Depression and the Second World War provided a narrative that changed both the vernacular and the paradigm.

• Along the blue line

  Government
  Compliance with state authority
  Implemented by fiat and elections

  Post Great Depression and WWII New Deal-
  social democratic narrative

  Classical liberalism and neoclassical
  economics 19th and early 20th century

  Markets
  Material incentives
  Implemented by prices and competition

• U.S. President, FDR, 1936. “*Heedless self interest is bad economics*”

• A new model and vernacular economics encapsulated in Paul Samuelson’s 1948 textbook, *Economics*

COVID 19 and climate change provide an opportunity for a similar shift
Summary: state capacity and market signals remain essential but insufficient

The one-dimensional continuum

- presumes both private and governmental actors have sufficient information to design mechanisms – contracts and regulations – adequate to address global pandemics and climate change
- overlooks the social character of people, which provides opportunities for solutions along a third dimension – complementary to governments and markets
- ignores the information and behavioural revolutions in economics
- As a result, it abstracts from the important role of social norms and the private exercise of power and social goals that go beyond liberty and shared affluence
What we will have learned from the pandemic is anticipated in recent economic research

• **Good laws and good incentives are no substitute for good citizens.** If citizens are amoral and self-interested, market signals and government fiats no matter how cleverly designed may backfire, and are not sufficient to address challenges like this pandemic (or climate change)
  – Research on mechanism design

• **There are plenty of good citizens.** People facing extraordinary risks and costs have indeed acted with generosity and trust on a massive scale
  – A lesson consistent with the last 3 decades of behavioural economics

• Our regard for the well being of others (altruism, reciprocity) also includes hostility to outsiders, for which the pandemic may provide fertile ground (the ‘Chinese’ virus). **Tribalism** not cooperation is a possible outcome.
Learning about economic and other values from recent surprises in politics in the US, UK and around the world.

• People care deeply about things that are mostly absent from our discussion about inclusive prosperity, especially identity, community, autonomy, and dignity.

• From the *Wealth of Nations*:
Learning about economic and other values from recent surprises in UK and US politics.

- People care deeply about things that are mostly absent from our discussion about inclusive prosperity, especially identity, community, autonomy, and dignity.
- From the *Wealth of Nations*: markets as a way of promoting equal dignity
What can we learn from past paradigms?

A successful policy paradigm …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy paradigm</th>
<th>Normative foundations (Level 1)</th>
<th>Economic model (Level 2)</th>
<th>Emblematic policies (Level 3)</th>
<th>Vernacular economics (Level 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical liberalism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keynesian social democracy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Neo liberalism”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New paradigm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Neoliberalism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy paradigm</th>
<th>Normative foundations (Level 1)</th>
<th>Economic model (Level 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Neo liberalism”</td>
<td>Freedom from government interference, procedural justice (fair rules define fair outcomes)</td>
<td>Self-interest (individuals &amp; government officials) and competitive markets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Neoliberalism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy paradigm</th>
<th>Normative foundations (Level 1)</th>
<th>Economic model (Level 2)</th>
<th>Emblematic policies (Level 3)</th>
<th>Vernacular economics (Level 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Neo liberalism”</td>
<td>Negative (formal) freedom, procedural justice</td>
<td>Self-interest (individuals &amp; government officials) and competitive markets.</td>
<td>Laissez-faire, school vouchers, “negative income tax”</td>
<td>“The government that governs best, governs least.” Labour unions are special interest groups. “There is no such thing as “society”.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning from others

• The need to integrate philosophy and economics
• The neoliberal success based on some credible contributions to economics (e.g. Becker’s work on interest groups, Buchanan’s on public choice, Hayek’s on the nature of equilibrium and the competitive process, Friedman’s on the limits of the Keynesian model) that were synergistic with their normative precepts.
• Integrating a set of egalitarian and democratic norms with an economic model requires as a first step to challenge the idea that liberty, fairness and efficiency are the sole ethical standards for evaluating economic outcomes…
• … an idea, we will see, that stems from a now outdated still influential view of the exchange process.
Framing economic interactions as a *morality free zone*

- **David Gauthier:** *the presumption of free activity ensures that no one is subject to any form of compulsion, or to any type of limitation not already affecting her actions as a solitary individual.* ...[Thus] *morality has no application to market interaction under the conditions of perfect competition*

- **Kenneth Arrow:** *Any complaints about [the market system’s] operation can be reduced to complaints about the distribution of income ...[and] the price system itself determines the distribution of income only in the sense of preserving the status quo*

- **Aside from concerns about distributive justice, then, prices would do the work of morals**
Messages: Abusive exercise of unaccountable power by private actors

Private exercise of power:
- may violate democratic norms
- is absent from the conventional economics paradigm
- only becomes salient when economics recognizes that information is limited (Friedrich Hayek, 1945, Herbert Simon, 1950, Ronald Coase 1937)
The authoritarian politics of employment (and how it was disappeared)

• Once upon a time, power was recognized as intrinsic to some economic interactions
  – Ronald Coase (1937): *the character of the contract ... [the worker] for certain remuneration agrees to obey the directions of the entrepreneur."

• The vanishing act, starring: complete contracts
  – Oliver Hart: *What does it mean to put someone ‘in charge’ of an action or decision if all actions can be specified in a contract*

• The vanishing act, supporting role: market clearing (itself a consequence of complete contracts)
  – The fact that the employer can terminate the worker’s job does not constitute power over the worker because she can get another identical job instantly
The economic model becomes part of everyday conversation

• From a prominent textbook (Alchian and Allen, 1969)
  – *One could call the employee the boss because he orders the employer to pay him a specific sum if he wants services performed. But words are words.*

• Political consequences of excluding power from our understanding of economic interactions:

• It made advocating an expansion of workers’ political rights in the workplace as difficult as it would have been to advocate unemployment insurance or other “automatic stabilizers” had the concept of *aggregate demand* not become part of everyday conversations about the economy...

• … or advocating a libertarian stance towards government in the absence of the perfectly competitive economic model supporting the idea of Smith’s invisible hand
The economic model shielded the “private government of the firm” from democratic or even libertarian critique, and it came to dominate economics …

- Abba Lerner (AER, 1972): *An economic transaction* [expressed in a contract] *is a solved political problem ... Economics has gained the title Queen of the Social Sciences by choosing solved political problems as its domain*
- But in the real economy, the domain [of complete contracts] was never large…
- … and appears likely to be even smaller in the future, as more of the economy is composed of hard to measure services – care, knowledge production and distribution, leisure services, security.
Where the contract is incomplete (in credit markets, labour markets and the firm) power and social norms become essential to the functioning of the economy

- The principal (lender, employer) exercises power over the agent (borrower, worker)
- This power may be abused (sexual harassment, racial insults, mini aggressions) at no cost to the principal
- Social norms (truth telling, work ethic, intrinsic motivation, reciprocity) are essential to sustaining the exchange.
- Allocations are Pareto-inefficient
New economic results ➔ a richer set of normative claims and political opportunities

• The principal (lender, employer) exercises power over the agent (borrower, worker) The accountability of this power (through ownership, bargaining, co-determination, cooperative) enhances democracy.

• This power may be abused (sexual harassment, racial insults, mini aggressions) at no cost to the principal Stronger individual rights at work enhances dignity and equal status.

• Social norms (truth telling, work ethic, intrinsic motivation, reciprocity) are essential to sustaining the exchange Greater equality of reward and voice are essential to mobilizing and cultivating these social norms.

• Allocations are inefficient And can be improved upon by the three sets of demands above (including, but not necessarily, in the Pareto efficiency sense).
A new paradigm in the making?

• Recall, successful policy paradigms combine a set of ethical values with a model of how the economy works, a property of which is that the pursuit of those ethical values contributes to the performance of the economy as represented in the model and in the empirical economy.
• What we call civil society (or the community) provides essential elements of a strategy to kill COVID-19 without killing the economy.

• The dual elements of the new theory – the limits of private contract and governmental fiat, along with a new view of a (sometimes) socially oriented economic actor and citizen – open up a space in economic discourse for a critique of the private exercise of power and for the essential role of social norms.
Government
Compliance with state authority
Implemented by fiat and elections

Markets
Material incentives
Implemented by prices and competition

Civil society
Reciprocity, altruism, fairness, sustainability, identity (including in-group)
Implemented by social norms and the exercise of private power

- kidney exchanges (kidney sales prohibited)
- open-source software
- relationships within conventional firms
- civil-society-led zero net carbon consumption
- care work at home

The third pole – a new space for policy, rules of the game and dangers

- carbon tax and dividend 'cap and trade'
The third pole – a new space for policy, rules of the game and dangers

**Government**
- Compliance with state authority
- Implemented by fiat and elections
- Virus testing and tracing in S. Korea
- NHS call for volunteers
- Care work at home
- Social distancing
- Mandatory risk-sharing (transfers)

**Markets**
- Material incentives
- Implemented by prices and competition
- Fast-track approval for private-sector developed virus tests
- Research, production and distribution of vaccine
- German health care system

**Civil society**
- Reciprocity, altruism, fairness, sustainability, identity (including in-group)
- Implemented by social norms and the exercise of private power

**Reallocation of labour**
- 20k Qantas workers hired by government as contact tracers
- Amazon hires 100k

**Free childcare**
Mark Carney: 'We can't self-isolate from climate change'

“What is the most pressing issue that economics should address?”

1st year introduction to economics students, UCL
September 2019
Open access new intro to economics taught as the standard course in 315 universities in 63 countries

What Students Learn in Economics 101: Time for a Change

Samuel Bowles and Wendy Carlin

Journal of Economic Literature
March 2020 free online

YOUR EC 101 EXAM
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