

# Comments on “Why are some regions more innovative than others? The role of firm size diversity” by Agrawal, Cockburn, Galasso and Oettl

Saul Lach  
The Hebrew University

May 2012

# Main hypothesis

- Large firms (labs) produce ideas but do not develop/commercialize all of them because not all ideas “fit”.
- Spin-outs are formed to commercialize them.
- When more spin-outs are created, fewer ideas abandoned  $\rightarrow$  innovation productivity is higher.
- Assumption: spin-out formation cheaper when there are more spin-outs.
- $\implies$  more spin-outs and innovations in regions with large and many small labs (given same number of inventors).

# Comments on model

- In fact, optimal diversity is when **exactly one** large firm and many small firms ( $N > \underline{N}$ ) coexist, because of assumed scale economies in ideas production.
  - this cannot always be true: optimal number of large labs depends on shape of scale economies and # of scientists in MSA.
  - Generalization in appendix? need “size normalization”.
- Costs of spin-out formation decrease with number of spin-outs. How realistic is this assumption? (post 1960s) evidence?
  - a large part of costs is scientific manpower whose supply may be highly inelastic  $\implies$  spin-out formation costs may increase (if not just redistribution of scientists).
  - costs of spin-out formation can be focus of policy tools.
- Predictions (proposition 2) are for social planner but data are generated by individual, profit-maximizing firms/entrepreneurs...what predictions would a market equilibrium deliver?

# Errors in measurement

- Not all inventions are patented  $\implies$  number of inventions and of inventors biased downward.
- How do these measurement errors affect diversity measure and estimates of its effect on innovations/spin-outs?
  - Inventions invented by multiple inventors and/or of higher quality may be more likely to be patented.

# Fixed effects?

- Long sample period: most unobserved effects not so fixed. FE will be missing a lot of unobservables.
  - Use supplementary data on infrastructure and labor market conditions which vary over time and MSAs
- IV estimation is therefore useful.
  - income taxes may affect self-employment but less so measure of diversity given its discrete nature (strength of the instrument).
  - IV results much larger than OLS. What is driving this?
    - omitted variables negatively correlated with diversity measure?
    - reverse causality: more innovation decreases diversity?. Patent thickets would imply more patents and more difficulties in setting up spin-outs (less diversity) ... but you are measuring patent citations not just counts.

# Model specification

- A diverse MSA has **at least** one large lab.  $x$
- Finding a positive effect of such diversity is interesting but not clear how useful it is for policy purposes.
- An estimated 17% increase in citations when MSA increases its number of small labs from 139 to 140? Isn't this too large an effect?
- Problem is with coarseness of diversity measure.
- Estimate effect of different configurations of large & small labs allowing for this effect to vary with MSA size. . . more demanding of the data and perhaps unfeasible.
  - Use sets of dummies for zero large labs, for exactly one large lab, for 2 or more large labs, etc. . . . and for small labs.
    - similar to Table A2 but with finer large lab dummies classifications and interaction terms.

# Additional comments on empirics

- Tables 8 & 9 show a lower effect of diversity on innovations in MSA's with enforceable non-compete agreements and in MSAs where large labs conduct less focused research.
- Rationale for the effect of non-compete agreements and "technological focus" on **innovations** is that they affect the **formation of spin-outs**.
  - Estimate effect of non-compete agreements and "technological focus" on spin-outs **directly**.
  - Similar to Tables 8 & 9 using number of spin-outs as dependent variable.
- If all spin-outs are small labs doesn't this generate a positive relationship between number of spin-outs and diversity measure?

# Arbitrary decisions

- Many arbitrary decisions due to reliance on data originating from patent document.
  - but checks for robustness of some decisions.
  - are there external data on number of labs/spin-outs?
- Threshold number of labs ( $\underline{N}$ ) depends on profits of inventions ( $\pi$ ) and on cost of spin-out formation ( $k(\cdot)$ ).
  - $\pi$  and  $k(\cdot)$  likely to vary across technologies, time, and location  $\rightarrow$  changing definition of “large” number of small labs.
  - How would results be affected by allowing for different thresholds?