Discussion of Wealth Fluctuations and Risk Preferences: Evidence from U.S. Investor Portfolios by Maarten Meeuwis

> Kim Peijnenburg EDHEC and CEPR

CEPR Household Finance conference May 7, 2020



Summary

- DRRA or CRRA? Does risk taking increase with wealth?
- Data on retirement wealth and labor income of millions of US individuals
- How to provide evidence for DRRA vs CRRA? Reaction of fraction of financial wealth invested in equity due to a change in income or a change financial wealth.
- Finding 1: Reduced form evidence for DRRA
- Finding 2: Use life-cycle model to show 10% permanent income growth leads to 1.5% decrease in risk aversion
- Finding 3: Implications for income and wealth inequality and asset pricing

Summary

- Extremely ambitious paper
- Two (or even three) papers in one
- Main comments:
 - Are retirement wealth equity investments appropriate to study changing equity shares
 - Missing parts of wealth
 - Link reduced form analysis and life-cycle model

Retirement data

- Does retirement equity holdings allow estimating preferences?
- In this dataset mostly retirement wealth: average retirement wealth is 106k and average non-retirement financial assets is 7k
- Retirement allocations large part default

Data from Vanguard 2018:



Kim Peijnenburg

Retirement data

0.77
0.19
0.03
0.01
0.82
1.02
0.43
0.53

- 77% in equity, 19% in fixed income
- If assume fixed income is risky, then almost 100% in risky assets.

Missing wealth

 CRRA preference: fixed fraction of total wealth in risky assets

DRRA preference: increasing fraction of total wealth in risky assets if total wealth increases

- Missing parts of total wealth:
 - deposit accounts
 - savings accounts
 - other retirement accounts
 - stock holdings outside retirement accounts
 - bond holdings outside retirement accounts
 - housing wealth
- Finding to corroborate DRRA: Equity returns in retirement account leads to small decline in equity share. However, perhaps adjustment equity share in a non-retirement account.

Link reduced and structural

- Calibrate life-cycle model with parameters from other papers (i.e., income of CGM, 2005) and use SCF data to match.
- Use coefficients of change on equity share on passive change, income growth, and portfolio return estimated using retirement data
- Possible to have a tighther connection between reduced form analysis and data and structural model?

Small quibbles

- Age fixed effects instead of second order polynomial in age
- Human capital instead of persistent income growth in reduced form analysis